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**Peer Review: Argument Analysis / The Critique**

**Instructions**: After your swap rough drafts, evaluate your partner’s critique.

First:

* Read your partner’s paper without making any comments.

Then:

* Reread your partner’s paper and underline or highlight its thesis.

Finally:

* Annotate each of your partner’s paragraphs by describing the main point of each in the margin beside it.

Once you are finished with the above, answer the questions below. Please remember to apply your close reading skills while reviewing your peers’ work, and to respond to these questions as thoroughly as you can.

1. In one to two sentences, describe what you partner’s essay is about.
2. Does this draft have a *clear, central argument?* Elaborate on the strength of that argument here, and offer your partner any suggestions you have for improvement.

3. Is the thesis carried out in this draft / does the paper fully engage with its thesis? How does it fulfill the argument made in the thesis? In what ways (if any) does it diverge? Please be as specific as possible. You may feel free to highlight and notate specific instances of deviating from the thesis on your partner’s draft.

4. Does the organization of this paper seem clear, or is there room for improvement? What advice can you offer your partner?

5. Write an overall comment for your peer, identifying the strengths and weaknesses of their rough draft.