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**Peer Review Part 1: Rhetorical Analysis**

**Instructions:** Working in groups of three, evaluate your group members’ rhetorical analyses based on the following questions. Please apply your close reading skills while reviewing your peers’ work and respond to these questions as thoroughly as you can.

1. Does this writer make a specific argument about the textual appeal of the writer’s selected text? Is it easy to pick out the main point / idea behind each claim? Write a one to two-sentence summary of the writer’s claim(s).
2. Look at the author’s language in sentences that appear to communicate the writer’s main ideas. Is the logic clear?
3. Are there any assumptions that the author is making that should be addressed/specified as the author revises?
4. Is the thesis carried out in this draft / does the paper fully engage with its thesis? How does it fulfill the argument made in the thesis? In what ways (if any) does it diverge? Please be as specific as possible. You may feel free to highlight and notate specific instances of deviating from the thesis on your partner’s draft.
5. Does the organization of this paper seem clear, or is there room for improvement? What advice can you offer your partner?
6. What is the strongest part of this author’s rhetorical analysis?

Now, at the bottom of this page or on a separate sheet of paper, write an overall note to your peer, in which you offer a general summary of the experience of reading your peer’s rhetorical analysis. You should offer both points of strength and ideas for revision.